

# UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

## STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K17 Batch (First Year- CHE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH-S102	PHY-S102	ESC-S101	TCA-S101	CHM-S101	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.						
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.						
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.						
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.						
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.						
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.						
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.						
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.						

MTH-S102

PHY-S102

ESC-S101

TCA-S101

CHM-S101

Mathematics

Physics

Basic electrical & electronic engg

Engineering Drawing

Chemistry

Mr. Vaibhav Mishra

Dr. Shikha Shukla

Er. Om pal

Er. Yastuti Rao Gautam

Dr. Rashmi Dubey

### Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
PHY-S102				
ESC-S101				
CHM-S101				

2K-17

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**

**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K17 Batch (First Year- MSME)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH-S102	PHY-S102	ISC-S101	TCA-S101	HSS-S101	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	5	5	1	1	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	5	5	1	4	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	3	5	5	1	1	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	3	5	5	4	4	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	3	5	5	4	4	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	5	5	1	2	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	4	5	5	1	2	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	5	5	5	1	1	

MTH-S102

Mathematics

Dr. Manoj Singh

PHY-S102

Physics

Dr. S. Sarkar

ISC-S101

Programming & Computing

Er. Prateek Srivastava

TCA-S101

Engineering Drawing

Er. Ranbeer Mukaya

HSS-S101

Prof. Communication

Deepti Agarwal

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
PHY-S102	✓		✓	have to be with
CHM-S101	✓			study materials
TCA-S101			✓	Not explained well
ISC	✓			But not test our

acknowledgement



**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K17 Batch (First Year- IT)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH-S102	PHY-S102	ESC-S101	TCA-S101	CHM-S101	ISC-S101
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	3	4	5	5	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	4	4	4	5	5	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	4	3	4	5	4	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	5	5	5	5	5	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	5	5	5	5	5	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	4	4	3	4	4	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	2	2	5	5	5	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	5	5	5	5	5	

MTH-S102	Mathematics	Er. Vaibhav Mishra
PHY-S102	Physics	Dr. Shikha Shukla
ESC-S101	Basic electrical & electronic engineering	Er. Avinash Chaudhary
TCA-S101	Engineering Drawing	Er. Ajeet Pratap Singh
CHM-S101	Chemistry	Dr. B.P. Singh
ISC-S101	Introduction to Computing	Er. Prateek Srivastava
<b>Lab Component</b>		

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
PHY-S102	✓			
CHM-101	✓			
TCA-S101	✓			
ISC-S101				

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K17 Batch (First Year- MEE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH-S102	PHY-S102	ISC-S101	TCA-S101	HSS-S101	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	3	4	5	5	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	3	1	5	5	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	5	4	5	5	5	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	5	4	4	5	5	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	5	5	5	5	5	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	5	2	5	5	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	5	2	5	5	5	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	3	1	4	5	5	

MTH-S102

Mathematics

Dr. Poonam Dixit

PHY-S102

Physics

Mr. Prabal Pratap Singh

ISC-S101

Programming & Computing

Dr. Manish Gupta

TCA-S101

Engineering Drawing

Er. Ajeet Pratap Singh

HSS-S101

Prof Communication

Dr. Richa Verma

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
PHY-S101	✓			
ISC-S101		✓		
CHM-S101			✓	
TCA-S101	✓			

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K17 Batch (First Year- CHE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH-S102	PHY-S102	ESC-S101	TCA-S101	CHM-S101	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	5	4	4	4	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	4	4	4	4	4	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	3	3	2	2	1	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	4	4	4	4	4	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	2	3	2	2	2	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	4	4	4	4	4	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	4	4	3	4	3	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	4	4	5	4	4	

MTH-S102

Mathematics

Mr. Vaibhav Mishra

PHY-S102

Physics

Dr. Shikha Shukla

ESC-S101

Basic electrical & electronic engg

Er. Om pal

TCA-S101

Engineering Drawing

Er. Yastuti Rao Gautam

CHM-S101

Chemistry

Dr. Rashmi Dubey

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
PHY-S102	✓			
ESC-S101	✓			
CHM-S101	✓			



**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K17 Batch (First Year- CSE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH-S102	PHY-S102	ISC-S101	TCA-S102	CHM-S101	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	5	5	5	5	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	5	5	5	5	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	5	5	5	5	5	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	5	5	5	5	5	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	5	4	4	5	5	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	4	4	5	3	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	5	2	3	5	5	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	5	5	5	5	5	

MTH-S102

Mathematics

Dr. Varsha Gupta

PHY-S102

Physics

Dr. RamJanma

ISC-S101

Programming & Computing

Dr. Ravindra nath

TCA-S102

Engineering Drawing

Er. Shishupal

CHM-S101

Chemistry

Dr. Arpita Yadav

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
PHY-S101	✓			
ISC-S101	✓			
CHM-S101	✓			

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**

**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K16 Batch (Second Year- CSE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

**Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable**

S.No.		CSE – S204	CSE – S205	CSE – S206	MTH– S301	HSS- S401	EVS- S101
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.						
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.						
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.						
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.						
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.						
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.						
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.						
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.						

CSE – S204  
CSE – S205  
CSE – S206  
MTH–S301  
HSS- S401  
EVS-S101

Object Oriented Programming  
Computer Organization  
Operating Systems  
Discrete Mathematics  
Industrial Economics  
Environmental Science

Er. Pooja Agnihotri  
Er. Alok Kumar  
Er. Sheshmani  
Dr. V.N. Pal  
Dr. Niyati Padhi  
Mr. Brijendra Singh

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CSE-S204				

# UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

## STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K16 Batch (Second Year- ECE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

**Scale:** Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		ECE – S203	ECE – S204	ESC – S205	MTH– S301	HSS– S401	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	4	5	2	4	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	4	4	5	2	5	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	4	4	5	3	5	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	4	3	5	3	5	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	2	3	5	4	5	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	4	4	4	4	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	1	4	5	3	4	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	4	5	5	3	5	

ECE-203  
ECE-204  
ESC-S205  
MTHS301  
HSS S401

Digital Electronics  
Electrical Machine  
Electromagnetic Theory  
Discrete Mathematics  
Industrial Economics

Er. Anand Kumar Gupta  
Er. Atulm Agnihotri  
Er. Somesh Mehrotra  
Dr.V.N. Pal  
Dr.Niyati Padhi

### Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
ECE-S203				
ECE-S204				



# UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

## STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K16 Batch (Second Year- IT)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

**Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable**

S.No.		MTH-S301	DIT-S202	DIT-S208	DIT-S206	HSS-S401	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	4	5	4	4	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	5	2	5	5	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	5	5	4	4	5	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	4	4	5	5	4	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	4	4	5	4	3	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	4	4	5	5	4	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	5	5	5	3	5	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	4	4	5	2	4	

DIT-S202  
DIT-S208  
DIT-S206  
HSS-S401  
MTH-S301

Computer Organization  
Linux  
Software Engineering  
Industrial Economics  
Discrete Math

Mr. Prateek Srivastava  
Mr. Ram Nayan  
Sanjeet Kumar  
Dr.Vineeta Kulshrestha  
Dr.V.N.Pal

### Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
DIT-S208				
DIT-S206				

# UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

## STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K16 Batch (Second Year- CSE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		CSE – S204	CSE – S205	CSE – S206	MTH– S301	HSS– S401	EVS– S101
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	4	3	2	5	3
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	2	2	4	2	5	4
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	2	2	1	2	4	3
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	3	3	3	3	4	3
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	3	3	1	3	4	3
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	1	1	3	5	1
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	2	2	2	2	4	2
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	2	2	2	2	4	2

CSE – S204

Object Oriented Programming

Er. Pooja Agnihotri

CSE – S205

Computer Organization

Er. Alok Kumar

CSE – S206

Operating Systems

Er. Sheshmani

MTH–S301

Discrete Mathematics

Dr. V.N. Pal

HSS– S401

Industrial Economics

Dr. Niyati Padhi

EVS–S101

Environmental Science

Mr. Brijendra Singh

### Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CSE-S204				



**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**

**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K16 Batch (Second Year- MEE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

**Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable**

S.No.		MEE-S203	MEE-S204	MEE-S205	MEE-S206	HSS-S201	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	4	3	4	4	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	3	3	5	4	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	3	3	3	3	4	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	3	3	3	4	4	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	3	4	3	5	4	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	1	4	2	4	4	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	3	3	3	4	4	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	3	4	2	4	4	

MEE-S203	Kinematics & Mechanism	Mr. Vikas Katiyar
MEE-S204	Basic Fluid Mechanics & Rate Processes	Mr. Ajay Jha
MEE-S205	Materials & Mechanical Metallurgy	Er. Shisupal
MEE-S206	Advanced Solid Mechanics	Er. Ranbeer Mukhya
HSS-S201	Industrial Management	Wq.cdr. A. Basu

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MEE-S203				
MEE-S205				

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**

**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K16 Batch (Second Year- MSME)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

**Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable**

S.No.		MSE-S203	MSE-S204	MSE-S205	MSE-S206	HSS-S201	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	4	5	5	5	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	4	4	5	5	5	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	5	3	4	4	5	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	5	4	5	4	4	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	5	4	4	5	4	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	4	1	5	4	5	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	5	3	4	5	4	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	5	1	5	4	3	

MSE-S203

Phase Equilibria in Materials

Mr. Ankur Katiyar

MSE-S204

Mechanical Behavior of Materials

Mr. V. K. Kashyap

MSE-S205

Materials Characterization

Dr. Anju Dixit/ Shivendra Trivedi

MSE-S206

Iron & Steel Making

Mr. Mangesh Kumar

HSS-S201

Industrial management

Wg.Cdr.Basu

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MSE-S203				
MSE-S205				



**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**

**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K16 Batch (Second Year- CHE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		CHE – S203	CHE – S204	CHE – S205	CHE – S206	HSS – S401	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	4	4	5	3	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	5	5	4	4	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	4	4	5	4	4	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	3	5	5	4	3	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	3	5	3	3	5	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	2	3	4	4	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	2	5	5	4	4	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	5	3	3	4	3	

CHE-S203

Chemical Engineering Thermodynamics

Dr. Vinay Kumar Sachan

CHE-S204

Heat transfer

Dr. Brishti Mitra

CHE-S205

Chemical Process Industries-I

Er. Vaibhav Saxena

CHE-S206

Mechanical Operations

Er. Arvind Sengar

HSS-S401

Industrial Economics

Ms. Vinita Kulkshrestha

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K15 Batch (Third Year- ECE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		ECE – S306	ECE – S307	ECE – S308	ECE– S309	ECE- S501	ECE- S506
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.						
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.						
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.						
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.						
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.						
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.						
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.						
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.						

ECE-S306

Analog Control System

Dr. Vishal Awasthi

ECE-S307

Analog Integrated Circuit

Er. Avinash Choudhary

ECE-S308

Communication Systems

Er. Preeti Singh

ECE-S309

Antenna and Microwave engineering

Er. Atul Agnihotri

ECE-S501 (EL-1)

Power electronics

Er. Neeraj Kumar

ECE-S506(EL-2)

Advanced Semiconductor Devices

Dr. Ajay Tiwari

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
ECE-S307				
ECE-S308				



**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**

**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K15 Batch (Third Year- MEE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MEE-S305	MEE-S306	MEE-S307	MEE-S308	MEE-S502	HSS-S301
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	2	5	5	3	5	4
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	1	5	5	2	5	3
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	1	5	5	3	5	3
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	1	5	5	3	5	3
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	2	5	5	3	5	3
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	5	5	2	5	3
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	3	4	5	2	5	3
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	4	5	5	1	5	2

MEE-S305

Heat Transfer

Er. Pramod Kumar Singh

MEE-S306

Production processes

Er. Arpit Srivastava

MEE-S307

Design of machine Elements

Er. Yastuti Rao Gautam

MEE-S502(EL)

Automobile Engineering

Er. Mukesh Kumar

HSS-S301

Communications Skill

Dr. Deeptii Agarwal

MEE-S308

LAB-2 I C Engines Heat Transfer

Er. Vikas Katiyar/Ajay Jha

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MEE-S308				

2K-15

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR  
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K15 Batch (Third Year- CSE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		CSE – S305	CSE – S306	CSE – S307	CSE- S504	HSS- S301	MTH- S504
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	5	4	1	3	2
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	5	4	1	3	3
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	3	5	4	1	3	2
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	3	5	4	1	3	3
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	3	5	4	1	3	2
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	5	4	1	3	3
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	3	5	4	1	3	2
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	3	5	4	1	3	3

CSE-S305

Compiler Design

Er. Shah Alam

CSE-S306

Computer Networks

Dr. Ravindra Nath

CSE-S307

Software Engineering

Er. Deepak Verma

CSE-S504(Elective)

Adv. Java Programming

Er. Suruchi Kannaujia

HSS-S301

Communications Skill

Dr. Richa Verma

MTH-S504

Probability & Statistics

Dr. Ritesh Agrawal

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CSE-S305	✓			
CSE-S504	✓			

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2015 Batch (Third Year- MSE/ME)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA - Not Applicable

S.No.		MSE- S306	MSE- S307	MSE- S308	MSE- S309	MSE- S310
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	5	4	5	4
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	4	5	4	5	5
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	5	5	3	5	4
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	5	5	3	5	5
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	4	4	4	5	4
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	5	3	5	5
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	5	5	3	5	4
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	4	4	4	5	5

MSE-S306

Principle of Metal Extraction & Refining

Er. Shivendra Trivedi

MSE-S307

Principles of Powder Processing

Dr. Alka Gupta

MSE-S308

Diffusion in Solids

Dr. V.K. Kashyap

MSE-S309

Corrosion & Degradation of Materials

Er. Mangesh Kumar

MSE-S310

Materials Characterizations II

Dr. Anju Dixit

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MSE-S307	✓			



**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**

**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K15 Batch (Third Year- ECE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

**Scale:** Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		ECE – S306	ECE – S307	ECE – S308	ECE– S309	ECE- S501	ECE- S506
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	4	3	3	5	5
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	1	1	3	3	3	3
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	1	2	1	3	4	1
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	1	2	2	3	3	3
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	1	2	3	3	3	3
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	1	2	1	3	4	2
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	1	3	2	3	4	4
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	1	3	3	3	4	4

ECE-S306

Analog Control System

Dr. Vishal Awasthi

ECE-S307

Analog Integrated Circuit

Er. Avinash Choudhary

ECE-S308

Communication Systems

Er. Preeti Singh

ECE-S309

Antenna and Microwave engineering

Er. Atul Agnihotri

ECE-S501 ( EL-1)

Power electronics

Er. Neeraj Kumar

ECE-S506(EL-2)

Advanced Semiconductor Devices

Dr. Ajay Tiwari

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
ECE-S307				
ECE-S308				

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**

**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K15 Batch (Third Year- CHE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		CHE – S305	CHE – S306	CHE – S307	CHE- S308	CHE- S506	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	1	3	3	3	
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	2	3	3	3	
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	4	2	4	3	3	
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	5	4	4	4	5	
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	4	3	3	3	4	
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	2	3	2	4	
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	5	2	4	2	3	
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	4	4	5	5	4	

CHE-S305

(Mass Transfer II)

Er. Umesh Chandra Sharma

CHE-S306

Instrumentation & Process Control

Dr. Abhishek Chandra

CHE-S307

Chemical Engineering design I

Dr. Praveen B. Patel

CHE-S506

Applied Num methods in ChemEngg

Dr. Arun kumar Gupta

CHE-S308

TPUO LAB II

Er. Umesh.C.Sharma/Arvind Sengar

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CHE-S308	✓			Some apparatuses not working like dryer correctly.

So repair it.

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**

**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K15 Batch (Third Year- IT)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

**Dear Student:**

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		DIT-S302	DIT-S308	DIT-S502	DIT-S505	MTH-S502	HSS-S301
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	3	3	3	5	5
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	5	5	5	3	5
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	3	3	3	3	4	5
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	3	4	4	4	4	4
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	3	4	4	4	4	4
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	4	1	4	3	1	3
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	4	2	4	3	2	3
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	4	3	4	4	4	4

DIT-S302

Computer Networks

Er. Sanjeet Kumar

DIT-S308

Internet Technology

Er. Ram Nayan Mishra

DIT-S502

Telecommunication

Er. Sunil Kumar

DIT-S505

Computer Graphics

Er. Hemant Kumar

MTH-S502

Operations Research

Er. Hemant Kumar

HSS-S301

Communication Skills

Dr. Richa Verma

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
DIT-S308	✓			
DIT-S505		✓		
DIT-S302	✓			



**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K14 Batch (Fourth Year- MSME)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MSE-S404	MSE-S405	MSE-S406	MSE-S501		
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.						
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.						
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.						
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.						
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.						
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.						
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.						
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.						

MSE-S404	Electronic Materials for Industry	Dr. Anju Dixit
MSE-S405	Heat & Mass Transfer	Er. Ankur Katiyar
MSE-S406	Computing Methods in Materials Engineering	Er. Shivendra Trivedi
MSE-S501	Electrochemical technology in Materials processing	Dr. Alka Gupta

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MSE-S406				

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K14 Batch (Fourth Year- CSE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		CSE – S518	CSE – S510	CSE – S505	CSE- S501	HSS- S503	ECE- S406	ECE- S511
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	4		4	4		
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	3		4	4		
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	5	3		5	4		
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	5	4		4	4		
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	5	2		5	3		
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	4		2	5		
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	5	4		5	5		
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	5	1		3	3		

CSE-S518

Artificial Intelligence

Dr. Renu Jain

CSE-S510

Cryptography and Network

Er. Shah Alam

CSE-S505

Distributed Processing

Er. Shesh Mani

CSE-S501

Digital Image Processing

Dr. Sandesh Gupta

HSS-S503

Economics

Dr. Niyati Padhi

ECE-S406

VLSI Technology

Dr. Richa Verma

ECE-S511

Biomedical Instruments

Er. Somesh Malhotra

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K14 Batch (Fourth Year- ECE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		ECE – S404	ECE – S405	ECE – S406	ESC– S508	ESC– S511	
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	4	3	4		
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	3	4	3		
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	5	4	3	5		
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	5	4	2	4		
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	4	5	4	3		
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	4	3	4		
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	5	4	4	5		
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	5	5	3	4		

ECE-S404

Wireless & Mobile Communication

Er. Parul Awasthi

ECE-S405

Optical Communication

Er. Ajeet Kr .Srivastava

ECE-S406

VISI DESIGN & TECHNOLOGY

Dr. Richa Verma

ESC-S508

Satellite Comm.& Radar

Er. Amit Katiyar

ESC-S511

Biomedical instruments

Er. Somesh Malhotra

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
ECE-S404	✓			
ECE-S405	✓			



**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K14 Batch (Fourth Year- IT)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		DIT-S402	DIT-SS11	DIT-S508	DIT-S506		
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	5	4	5		
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	4	4	5	5		
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	5	5	5	5		
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	5	5	5	5		
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	4	4	4	4		
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	5	5	5		
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	4	4	4	4		
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	5	5	5	5		

DITS402

Information Systems

Er. Shubhendra Singh

DIT-SS11

Distributed Systems

Er. Shubhendra Singh

DIT-S508

Data Mining

Dr. Rashi Agarwal

DIT-S506

Artificial Intelligence

Er. Sunil Kumar

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
BTP LAB-1	✓			

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K14 Batch (Fourth Year- CHE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		CHE – S404	CHE – S407	CHE – S503	CHE- S508		
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	3	4	5		
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	4	3	4		
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	4	3	4	5		
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	5	2	4	5		
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	4	3	4	5		
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	4	4	2	4		
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	4	3	3	5		
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	4	3	4	4		

CHE-S404

Transport Phenomenon

Dr. Arun Kumar Gupta

CHE-S407

Chem Reaction Engg and Process Control Lab Dr. V.K.Sachan /Vaibhav Saxen

CHE-S503

Petroleum Engineering

Dr. Praveen B. Patel

CHE-S508

Optimization Techniques

Dr. Abhishek Chandra

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions

**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR**

**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K14 Batch (Fourth Year- MEE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MEE-S404	MEE-S405	MEE-S406	MEE-S504		
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	4	4	4		
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	4	4	4		
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	4	4	4	4		
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	2	2	3	4		
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	2	2	3	4		
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	2	2	3	4		
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	2	2	3	4		
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	2	2	3	4		

MEE-S404

Measurements and Control

Er. Arpit Srivastava

MEE-S405

Thermal Turbomachinery & Compressible Flow

Er. Pramod Kumar Singh

MEE-S406

Computer Aided Design

Er. Ramendra Singh

MEE-S504

Operation research

Er. Ajay Jha

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MEE-S406		✓		
MEE-S405		✓		
MEE-S404		✓		



**UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**KANPUR**  
**STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES**

2K14 Batch (Fourth Year- MSME)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please fill this form like an exam (after reading each question carefully) and please respond to each statement. This helps us improve overall teaching environment.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MSE-S404	MSE-S405	MSE-S406	MSE-S501		
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class and was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	5	5	5		
2.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	5	3	5		
3.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactory.	5	4	5	5		
4.	The quizzes and exam covered the entire syllabus taught in the class.	4	5	4	5		
5.	The question papers were able to test the knowledge gained in the subject.	5	4	4	5		
6.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	3	3	3		
7.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheet.	4	4	4	5		
8.	The instructor gave assignments /experiments regularly covering the topic taught in the class.	4	4	4	3		

MSE-S404	Electronic Materials for Industry	Dr. Anju Dixit
MSE-S405	Heat & Mass Transfer	Er. Ankur Katiyar
MSE-S406	Computing Methods in Materials Engineering	Er. Shivendra Trivedi
MSE-S501	Electrochemical technology in Materials processing	Dr. Alka Gupta

**Lab Component**

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MSE-S406	✓			