

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K15 Batch (First Year- CSE)

Please **DO NOT** Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH – S101	PHY – S101	ESC – S101	TCA – S101	HSS – S101
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.					
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.					
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.					
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.					
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.					
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.					
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.					
8.	All quizzes were held in time.					
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.					
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.					
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheets.					
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.					
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.					

MTH-S101
 PHY-S101
 ESC-S101
 TCA-S101
 HSS-S101

Mathematics
 Physics
 Electrical & Electronics Engg.
 Engineering Drawing
 English

Dr. Poonam Dixit
 Dr. S. Sarkar
 Er. Avinash Chaudhary
 Er. Mohd. Zeeshan
 Dr. Dipti Agrawal

Course Code	Lab Component			Suggestions
	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	
MTH – S101				
PHY – S101				
ESC – S101				
TCA – S101				
HSS – S101				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K15 Batch (First Year- MSME)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH – S101	PHY – S101	ESC – S101	TCA – S102	CHM – S101
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	3	2	1	5	4
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	3	3	5	3
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	3	2	4	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	4	2	1	4	4
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	4	3	3	3	4
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	5	5	4	5	4
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	5	5	5	4	5
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	5	5	5	5	5
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	5	5	5	5	5
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	5	5	5	5
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheets.	5	3	3	3	5
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	5	4	2	4	5
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	4	2	1	5	4

MTH-S101
PHY-S101
ESC-S101
TCA-S102
CHM-S101

Mathematics
Physics
Basic Electrical & electronics Engineering
Engineering Drawing
Chemistry

Dr. D.K.Singh
Er. Prabal Pratap Singh
Er. Ompal
Er. Shishupal
Dr. Rashmi Dubey

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MTH – S101				
PHY – S101				
ESC – S101				
TCA – S102				
CHM – S101				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K15 Batch (First Year- CSE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH – S101	PHY – S101	ESC – S101	TCA – S101	HSS – S101
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	3	5	4	4	4
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	4	5	3	4
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	5	3	4	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	3	5	5	3	5
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	4	5	4	2	4
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	5	5	4	5	3
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	4	5	4	5	4
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	5	5	5	5	5
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	4	5	4	4	5
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	4	5	2	4	4
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheets.	3	5	3	5	5
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	4	5	5	5	5
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	4	5	4	4	5

MTH-S101
PHY-S101
ESC-S101
TCA-S101
HSS-S101

Mathematics
Physics
Electrical & Electronics Engg.
Engineering Drawing
English

Dr. Poonam Dixit
Dr. S. Sarkar
Er. Avinash Chaudhary
Er. Mohd. Zeeshan
Dr. Dipti Agrawal

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MTH – S101				
PHY – S101				
ESC – S101				
TCA – S101				
HSS – S101				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K15 Batch (First Year- ECE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH-S101	PHY-S101	ESC-S101	TCA-S101	HSS-S101
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	5	5	5	5	2
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	5	4	2	1
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	5	5	5	3
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	5	5	4	3	2
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	4	5	4	2	3
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	4	5	3	5	2
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	5	5	4	4	1
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	5	5	3	3	3
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	5	5	3	2	2
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	4	5	5	3
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheets.	4	4	5	4	2
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	4	4	4	3	1
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	2	4	3	2	3

MTH-S101
PHY-S101
ESC-S101
TCA-S101
HSS-S101

Mathematics
Physics
Electrical & Electronics Engg.
Engineering Drawing
English

Dr. Varsha Gupta
Dr. K.B.Thapa
Er. Atul Agnihotri
Er. Ajeet Pratap Singh
Dr. Dipti Agarwal

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MTH-S101				
PHY-S101				
ESC-S101				
TCA-S101				
HSS-S101				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K15 Batch (First Year- IT)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH – S101	PHY – S101	ISC – S101	TCA – S101	HSS – S101
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	5	5	5	5	5
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	5	5	5	5
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	5	5	5	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	5	5	0	3	5
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	5	5	0	4	5
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	4	3	0	5	4
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	4	5	1	5	5
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	2	5	2	4	5
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	5	4	4	5	4
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	5	5	5	5
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheets.	5	3	3	3	0
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	5	4	1	5	4
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	5	5	5	4	5

MTH-S101
PHY-S101
ISC-S101
TCA-S102
HSS-S101

Mathematics
Physics
Programming & Computing
Engineering Drawing
English

Dr. V.N.Pal
Dr. Shikha Shukla
Er.Manish Dua
Er. Shishu Pal
Dr. Richa Verma

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MTH – S101				
PHY – S101				
ISC – S101				
TCA – S101				
HSS – S101				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K15 Batch (First Year- CHE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH – S101	PHY – S101	ISC – S101	TCA – S102	HSS – S101
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	3	2	1	2	3
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	2	2	5	3
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	2	2	4	5	5
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	5	2	2	5	2
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	4	2	3	5	5
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	5	2	3	4	5
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	4	2	4	2	5
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	5	3	2	5	5
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	3	3	3	3	2
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	1	3	3	3
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheets.	3	2	3	2	3
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	5	2	0	2	3
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	3	2	2	2	5

MTH-S101

Mathematics

Dr. Manoj Kumar Singh

PHY-S101

Physics

Dr. RamJanma

ISC-S101

Programming & Computing

Er Manish Dua.

TCA-S102

Engineering Drawing

Er. Arpit Srivastava

HSS-S101

English

Dr. Richa Verma

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MTH – S101				
PHY – S101				
ISC – S101				
TCA – S102				
HSS – S101				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K15 Batch (First Year- MEE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5, NA – Not Applicable

S.No.		MTH – S101	PHY – S101	ESC – S101	TCA – S102	CHM – S101
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	5	2	2	3	4
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	2	2	2	5
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	2	1	3	1
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	4	1	2	4	2
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	4	2	2	2	2
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	2	2	2	2	2
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	4	3	3	3	2
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	4	4	4	4	4
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	4	4	4	4	4
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	2	2	2	2	2
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheets.	3	2	3	2	2
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	4	3	1	5	1
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	4	1	1	1	1

MTH-S101
PHY-S101
ESC-S101
TCA-S102
CHM-S101

Mathematics
Physics
Basic Electrical & electronics Engineering
Engineering Drawing
Chemistry

Dr. Saurabh Porwal
Dr. Ramjanma
Er. Preeti
Er. Mukesh Verma
Dr. Ratna Shukla

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MTH – S101				
PHY – S101				
ESC – S101				
TCA – S102				
CHM – S101				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K14 Batch (2ndYear- CSE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		CSE – S201	CSE – S202	ESC – S201	MTH – S201	ESC – S202
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.					
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.					
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.					
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.					
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.					
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.					
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.					
8.	All quizzes were held in time.					
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.					
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.					
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.					
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.					
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.					

CSE-S201
 CSE-S202
 ESC-S201
 MTH-S201
 ESC-S202

Data Structure
 Digital Electronics and logic Design
 Engineering Mechanics
 Mathematics
 Thermodynamics

Dr. Renu Jain
 Er. Alok Kumar
 Er. Arpit Srivastava
 Poonam Dixit
 Er. Vaibhav Saxena

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Lab Component	
			Not Applicable	Suggestions
CSE – S201				
CSE – S202				
ESC – S201				
MTH – S201				
ESC – S202				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K14 Batch (2ndYear- CSE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		CSE - S201	CSE - S202	ESC - S201	MTH - S201	ESC - S202
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	4	4	4	4	2
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	1	3	1	1
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	2	2	2	2	3
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	2	1	2	1	1
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	3	4	5	3	2
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	5	5	5	5	3
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	2	1	1	1	4
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	1	1	1	5	3
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	2	1	4	4	3
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	1	4	5	3
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	1	2	1	3	2
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	3	4	4	4	1
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	4	3	2	1	1

CSE-S201

CSE-S202

ESC-S201

MTH-S201

ESC-S202

Data Structure

Digital Electronics and logic Design

Engineering Mechanics

Mathematics

Thermodynamics

Dr. Renu Jain

Er. Alok Kumar

Er. Arpit Srivastava

Poonam Dixit

Er. Vaibhav Saxena

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CSE - S201				
CSE - S202				
ESC - S201				
MTH - S201				
ESC - S202				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K14 Batch (2ndYear- ECE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		ECE - S201	ECE - S202	ESC - S201	MTH - S201	ESC - S202
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	5	5	5	3	1
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	2	5	4	1
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	4	5	5	5
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	5	3	5	5	1
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	5	1	5	5	1
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	5	2	5	1	2
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	4	4	5	5	4
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	4	4	4	5	3
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	5	2	5	5	2
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	2	3	4	4	2
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	4	4	5	3	1
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	3	5	5	4	1
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	5	2	5	4	1

ECE-S201/207
ECE-S202/208
ESC-S201
MTH-S201
ESC-S202

Analog Electronics
Network Analysis and Synthesis
Engineering Mechanics
Mathematics
Thermodynamics

Er. Avinash Chaudhary
Mr. Ajay Tiwari
Mr. Arpit Srivastava
Dr. Varsha Gupta
Er. Vaibhav Saxena

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
ECE - S201				
ECE - S 202				
ESC - S201				
MTH - S201				
ESC - S202				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K14 Batch (2ndYear- IT)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		DIT - S201	DIT - S203	DIT - S205	ESC - S201	MTH - S201
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	4	5	2	4	2
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	5	2	4	1
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	5	3	3	5
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	2	4	2	4	1
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	3	4	3	3	1
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	4	5	4	4	5
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	2	5	2	2	2
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	4	5	3	3	1
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	3	4	4	4	2
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	2	3	2	4	3
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	2	5	3	5	1
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	2	4	4	4	1
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	3	5	4	2	1

DIT-S201	OOPS	Mr. Ram Nayan Mishra(Er. Amitesh+Sanjeet)
DIT-S203	Digital Electronics	Mr. Sanjeet
DIT-S205	Data Structure	Mr. Ramnayan(Er. Amitesh)
ESC-S201	Engineering Mechanics	Mr. Ajay Jha
MTH-S201	Mathematics	Dr. V.N.Pal

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
DIT -S201				
DIT -S203				
DIT - S205				
ESC - S201				
MTH - S201				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K14 Batch (2ndYear- CHE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below
You have to answer each question separately for each course

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		CHE - S201	CHE - S202	ESC - S202	ESC - S201	MTH - S201
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	4	5	5	4	2
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	4	5	4	3
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	2	2	3	4	2
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	5	4	3	3	5
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	4	4	3	4	4
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	4	4	5	4	3
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	5	5	5	5	4
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	5	5	4	5	5
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	4	4	4	3	5
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	5	4	4	4	2
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	5	4	4	4	2
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	5	4	5	5	4
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	4	5	5	4	3

CHE-S201 Process Calculation

CHE-S202 Transport Process and Unit operation-1(Fluid mechanics)

ESC-S202 Thermodynamics

ESC-S201 Engineering Mechanics

MTH-S201 Mathematics

Mr. Vinay Kumar Sachan

Mr. Praveen Bhai Patel

Mr. Umesh C. Sharma

Mr. Yastuti Rao Gautam

Mr. Manoj Singh

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CHE - S201				
CHE - S202				
ESC - S202				
ESC - S201				
MTH - S201				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K14 Batch (2ndYear- MEE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		MEE - S201	MEE - S202	ESC - S202	ESC - S201	MTH - S201
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	1	3	3	4	2
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	1	3	4	5	2
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	2	2	5	2	5
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	1	4	2	5	2
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	2	2	2	2	2
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	2	5	4	4	0
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	2	2	4	2	4
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	2	3	4	3	3
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	3	3	3	3	2
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	3	5	3	2
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	3	3	3	3	1
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	2	4	2	4	2
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	1	3	4	3	2

MEE-S201
MEE-S202
ESC-S202
ESC-S201
MTH-S201

Mechanical Design & Drawing
Basic Solid mechanics
Thermodynamics
Engineering Mechanics
Mathematics

Mr. Ajeet Pratap Singh
Mr. Vikash Katiyar
Mr. Arpit Srivastava
Mr. Vikas Katiyar
Dr. Saurav Porwal

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MEE - S201				
MEE - S202				
ESC - S202				
ESC - S201				
MTH - S201				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K14 Batch (2ndYear- MSME)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		MSE - S201	MSE - S202	ESC - S203	ESC - S201	MTH - S201
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	4	2	1	2	1
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	5	2	1	3	1
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	2	2	3	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	5	2	1	4	1
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	5	3	1	4	1
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	5	2	3	3	1
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	4	2	2	3	2
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	5	3	1	2	2
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	5	2	1	2	1
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	2	2	1	3	1
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	5	3	1	3	2
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	5	3	1	3	1
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	5	2	1	3	1

MSE-S201

Thermodynamics & Kinetics of Materials

Dr. V.K.Kashyap

MSE-S202

Nature & Properties of Materials

Dr. Rakesh Bhatnagar

ESC-S203

Physics of Materials

Ms. Bhoomika Yadav

ESC-S201

Engineering Mechanics

Mr. Shishu Pal

MTH-S201

Mathematics

Dr. D.K.Singh

Course Code	Lab Component			Suggestions
	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	
MSE - S201				
MSE - S202				
ESC - S203				
ESC - S201				
MTH - S201				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K13 Batch (3rd Year- CHE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		CHE – S301	CHE– S302	CHE – S304	CHE– S303	CHM – S301	HSS – S301
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.						
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.						
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.						
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.						
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.						
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.						
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.						
8.	All quizzes were held in time.						
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.						
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.						
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.						
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.						
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.						

CHE-S301	Transport Process & Unit Operatios -IV(Mass Transfer I)	Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta
CHE-S302	Chemical Process Industries –II	Mr. Arvind Sengar
CHE-S304	Chemical Reaction Engineering-I	Mr.Abhishek Chandra
CHE-S303	Transport Process & Unit Operatios Lab-I	Mr. Vinay.K. Sachan
CHM-S301	Chemistry	Dr. B.P.Singh
HSS-S301	Professional Communication	Dr. Richa Verma

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CHE – S301				
CHE – S302				
CHE – S304				
CHE – S303				
CHM – S301				
HSS – S301				

Lab Component

Mr. Arpita Yadav

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K13 Batch (3rd Year- MEE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		MEE - S301	MEE- S302	MEE - S303	MEE- S304	CHM - S301
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	2	2	4	2	3
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	2	2	3	3	3
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	3	3	3	3
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	2	1	2	2	3
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	3	2	1	3	3
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	3	3	2	3	3
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	2	2	2	2	3
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	3	2	3	3	4
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	2	2	3	3	4
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	3	4	1	4
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	2	2	3	2	3
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	2	2	3	2	2
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	1	1	2	1	2

MEE-S301
MEE-S302
MEE-S303
MEE-S304
CHM-S301

Dynamics of Machines & Vibrations
Advanced Fluid mechanics
IC Engines, Steam & Nuclear power
Appl. Mech., Fluid Mech., Vibrations
Chemistry

Er. Mohd. Zeeshan
Mr. Mukesh Kumar
Mr. Yastuti Rao Gautam
Mr. Pramod Kumar/Mohd. Zeeshan
Dr. Arpita Yadav

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MEE - S301				
MEE - S302				
MEE - S303				
MEE - S304				
CHM - S301				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K13 Batch (3rd Year- MSME)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		MSE – S301	MSE– S302	MSE – S303	MSE– S304	MSE – S305	HSS – S301
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	3	2	5	5	5	3
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	2	5	5	5	4
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	2	5	5	5	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	3	2	5	5	5	4
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	3	4	5	5	5	4
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	4	4	4	5	5	4
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	4	4	5	5	2	4
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	3	1	4	5	5	4
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	4	1	5	4	4	4
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	2	4	5	1	4
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	4	1	5	4	4	4
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	4	3	4	4	5	4
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	4	5	5	4	5	4

MSE-S301
MSE-S302
MSE-S303
MSE-S304
MSE-S305
HSS-S301

Fundamentals of Processing Material
Manufacturing Processes Selection & Design
Electronic & Optical Materials
Phase Transformation in Metals
Heat Treatment of Metals
Professional Communication

Mr. Mangesh .k. Vidyarthi
Mr. Shivendra Trivedi
Dr .Anju Dixit
Mr. Ankur Katiyar
Mr. Ankur Katiyar
Ms. Deepti Agrawal

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MSE – S301				
MSE – S302				
MSE – S303				
MSE – S304				
MSE – S305				
HSS – S301				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K13 Batch (3rd Year- CHE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		CHE - S301	CHE- S302	CHE - S304	CHE- S303	CHM - S301	HSS - S301
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	2	2	3	3	4	5
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	2	3	3	4	3	5
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	3	3	3	5	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	2	2	2	3	3	5
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	3	3	3	5	3	4
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	4	3	4	4	4	4
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	4	3	4	3	5	5
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	5	3	3	4	5	5
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	4	4	4	4	5	4
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	4	2	2	3	4	5
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	4	4	3	4	4	5
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	3	4	3	4	5	4
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	2	3	2	3	3	4

CHE-S301	Transport Process & Unit Operatios -IV(Mass Transfer I)
CHE-S302	Chemical Process Industries -II
CHE-S304	Chemical Reaction Engineering-I
CHE-S303	Transport Process & Unit Operatios Lab-I
CHM-S301	Chemistry
HSS-S301	Professional Communication

Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta
 Mr. Arvind Sengar
 Mr. Abhishek Chandra
 Mr. Vinay.K. Sachan
 Dr. B.P.Singh
 Dr. Richa Verma

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CHE - S301				
CHE - S302				
CHE - S304				
CHE - S303				
CHM - S301				
HSS - S301				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K12 Batch (3rd Year- CSE)

Please **DO NOT** Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		CSE - S301	CSE - S302	CSE - S303	CSE - S304	MTH - S501
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	3	4	5	3	4
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	5	5	3	3
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	5	4	5	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	3	5	4	4	2
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	1	3	5	4	1
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	1	1	1	4	3
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	1	1	3	1	1
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	1	2	3	1	2
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	4	2	4	2	4
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	1	0	3	1	3
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	2	1	2	1	1
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	4	4	3	4	2
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	3	3	2	3	1

CSE-S301	Database Management System(with Lab)	Manish Dua
CSE-S302	Design and analysis of Algorithm	Dr. R.N. Katiyar
CSE-S303	Microprocessor (with Lab)	Er. Shesh Mani
CSE- S304	Theory of Computation	Er. Shah Alam
MTH-S501	Numerical Methods	Beena Awasthi

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CSE - S301				
CSE - S302				
CSE - S303				
CSE - S304				
MTH - S501				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K13 Batch (3rd Year- IT)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory -1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		DIT - S303	DIT - S305	DIT - S307	DIT - S309	DIT - S311
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	3	3	3	3	3
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	3	3	3	3
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	5	5	5	5
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	3	3	3	3	3
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	2	2	3	2	2
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	4	4	4	4	4
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	4	4	4	4	4
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	5	5	5	5	5
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	5	5	5	4	4
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	4	4	4	2	4
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	2	4	2	4	4
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	4	3	4	2	4
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	3	2	3	3	3

DIT-S303
 DIT-S305
 DIT-S307
 DIT-S309
 DIT-S311

Theory of Computation
 Design & Analysis of Algorithm
 DBMS
 Operating System
 Introduction to Compilers

Er. Hemant Kumar
 Er. Amitesh
 Er. Preeti Chaturvedi/Sanjeet
 Er. Preeti Chaturvedi
 Er. Amitesh

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
DIT - S303				
DIT - S305				
DIT - S307				
DIT - S309				
DIT - S311				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K13 Batch (3rd Year- ECE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		ECE - S301	ECE - S302	ECE - S303	ECE - S304	ECE - S305	HSS - S301
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	4	2	4	5	5	4
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	4	2	5	4	4	5
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	5	4	5	4	5	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	4	4	4	5	4	5
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	4	4	5	5	5	5
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	5	5	4	5	4	4
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	5	4	4	5	4	4
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	5	5	5	5	5	5
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	5	3	5	1	5	5
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	4	2	5	2	4	4
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	5	5	4	5	5	5
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	5	2	5	4	5	5
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	5	2	5	3	5	4

ECE-S301
ECE-S302
ECE-S303
ECE-S304
ECE-S305
HSS-S301

Electronics Circuits
Signal and System
Measurement and Instrumentation
Microprocessor & Application
Electrical Engg. Materials
Professional Communication

Er. Niraj Kumar
Er. Ajeet Kumar
Er. Somesh Kumar
Er. Anand Gupta
Er. Amit Katiyar
Dr. Richa Verma

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
ECE - S301				
ECE - S302				
ECE - S303				
ECE - S304				
ECE - S305				
HSS - S301				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K12 Batch (4th Year- ECE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		ECE – S401	ECE – S403	ECE – S402	HSS – S201		
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.						
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.						
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.						
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.						
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.						
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.						
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.						
8.	All quizzes were held in time.						
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.						
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.						
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.						
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.						
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.						

ECE-S401
 ECE-S403
 ECE-S402
 HSS-S201

Digital Communication
 Digital Signal Processing
 Data Communication
 Industrial Management

Er. Richa Verma
 Er. Vishal Awasthi
 Er. Parul Awasthi
 WgCdr.A. Basu

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
ECE –S401				
ECE – S403				
ESC – S402				
HSS - S201				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K12 Batch (4th Year- CSE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		CSE – S507	CSE – S516	CSE – S521	CSE – S401	HSS – S201	CSE- S518
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	2	1	2	2	2	2
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	2	3	1	1	3
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	3	4	2	3	4	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	3	3	3	2	3	4
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	3	2	3	4	2	3
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	2	3	2	2	1	4
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	4	4	3	2	4	4
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	3	4	4	3	1	3
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	3	4	4	2	2	4
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	1	4	3	4	3	4
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answer sheets.	1	3	3	1	4	3
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	3	2	1	3	2	4
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	1	1	1	1	3	2

CSE-S507

Advance Computer Network

Er. Shah Alam

CSE-S516

Advance Database

Deepak K. Verma

CSE-S521

Data Mining & Data ware housing

Suruchi Kannaujiya

CSE-S401

Computer Graphics

Shesh Mani

HSS-S201

Industrial Management

WgCdr.A. Basu

CSE-S518

Artificial Intelligence

Dr. Renu Jain

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CSE – S507				
CSE – S516				
CSE – S521				
CSE – S401				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K12 Batch (4th Year- ECE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		ECE – S401	ECE – S403	ECE – S402	HSS – S201
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	3	2	4	4
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	3	2	3	4
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	4	2	3	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	1	2	3	4
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	2	1	2	5
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	2	3	5	5
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	2	5	2	5
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	2	2	3	5
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	4	3	4	4
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	2	3	3
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	1	3	3	4
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	2	1	3	3
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	2	2	3	3

ECE-S401

Digital Communication

Er. Richa Verma

ECE-S403

Digital Signal Processing

Er. Vishal Awasthi

ECE-S402

Data Communication

Er. Parul Awasthi

HSS-S201

Industrial Management

WgCdr.A. Basu

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
ECE – S401				
ECE – S403				
ESC – S402				
HSS - S201				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K12 Batch (4th Year- IT)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory -1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		DIT - S401	DIT - S508	DIT - S512	HSS - S201		
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	2	4	3	3		
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	2	2	3	4		
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	2	3	3	4		
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	3	1	3	4		
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	1	1	1	5		
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	2	1	2	3		
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	2	3	2	5		
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	1	4	2	5		
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	3	3	2	4		
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	3	2	4	5		
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	3	2	2	5		
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	3	2	2	4		
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	3	2	2	4		

DIT-S401

Digital Image Processing

Dr. Rashi Agrawal

DIT-S508

Data Mining

Er. Hemant

DIT-S512

N/W Security

Er. Sanjeet

HSS-S201

Industrial Management

WgCdr.A. Basu

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
DIT - S401				
DIT - S508				
DIT - S512				
HSS - S201				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K12 Batch (4th Year- CHE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		CHE – S401	CHE – S402	CHE – S405	HSS – S201		
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	2	3	4	3		
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	2	3	5	4		
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	2	1	2	4		
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	3	2	3	4		
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	1	2	3	5		
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	3	2	2	3		
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	2	2	3	2		
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	2	1	1	4		
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	2	1	2	3		
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	2	1	4	4		
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	1	2	3	4		
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	2	3	2	4		
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	2	3	1	5		

CHE-S401

Chemical Engineering Design – II

Dr. Brishti Mitra

CHE-S402

Chemical Reaction Engineering -II

Pradeep Kumar

CHE-S405

Instrumentation and Process control lab

Abhishek/Arun K. Gupta /Arvind Sengar

HSS-S201

Industrial Management

WgCdr.A. Basu

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
CHE – S401				
CHE – S402				
CHE – S405				
HSS – S201				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K12 Batch (4th Year- MEE)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		MEE - S401	MEE - S402	MEE - S403	HSS - S401
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	1	3	4	5
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	1	1	5	3
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	1	4	2	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	2	2	1	4
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	2	1	3	4
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	2	1	3	4
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	1	3	4	3
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	1	3	2	4
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	1	2	2	2
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	2	3	4	4
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	2	4	2	4
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	3	3	2	4
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	2	1	2	2

MEE-S401

Computer Aided Manufacturing

Mr. Ramendra Singh Niranjana

MEE-S402

Refrigeration & Air conditioning

Mr. Pramod Kumar

MEE-S403

Industrial Management & Production System

Mr. Ajay Jha

HSS-S401

Industrial Management

Dr. Niyati Padhi

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MEE - S401				
MEE - S402				
MEE - S403				
HSS - S401				

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
STUDENTS EVALUATION OF COURSES

2K12 Batch (4th Year- MSME)

Please DO NOT Write your name or roll number

Dear Student:

Please respond to each statement. Point for each question can be allotted according to the scale given below. You have to answer each question separately for each course.

Scale: Not Satisfactory-1, Satisfactory-2, Good-3, Very Good-4, Excellent-5

S.No.		MSE – S401	MSE – S502	MSE – S402	MSE – S505	HSS – S401
1.	The instructor was well prepared for his / her class.	1	3	2	2	4
2.	The instructor was able to deliver the lecture effectively.	1	3	2	3	4
3.	The instructor was punctual in the class.	2	3	2	3	4
4.	The instructor was able to answer question raised in the class satisfactorily.	1	4	2	3	4
5.	The instructor was available and approachable for clarifying doubts/ difficulties during office hours.	2	3	4	4	3
6.	The instructor followed the course contents according to the syllabus given.	2	3	2	3	3
7.	Allotted lectures and tutorials were held.	2	3	2	2	2
8.	All quizzes were held in time.	3	2	2	1	4
9.	The quizzes and exams were held according to the syllabus covered in class.	2	2	2	2	4
10.	The quizzes and mid-semester copies were graded and shown on time.	2	4	1	4	3
11.	The instructor was uniform in correcting the answersheets.	2	2	1	3	2
12.	The instructor was able to maintain discipline in the class.	2	5	4	2	1
13.	Overall understanding and knowledge gained in the course.	2	1	1	1	5

MSE-S401

Composites Materials

Dr. Shikha Shukla

MSE-S502

Applications of Transport Phenomena in Metal Processing

Mr. Mangesh Kr. Vidyarthi

MSE-S402

Fuel Refractories & Furnaces

Mr. Shivendra Tripathi

MSE-S505

Processing of Ceramic Materials

Ms. Bhoomika Yadav

HSS-S401

Industrial Economics

Dr. Niyati Padhi

Lab Component

Course Code	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Not Applicable	Suggestions
MSE – S401				
MSE – S502				
MSE – S402				
MSE – S505				
HSS – S401				