E-mail bciinfo21@gmail.com
Website www.barcouncilofindia.org

Tel (91) 011-4922 5000
Fax (91) 011-4922 5011

भारतीय विधिज्ञ परिषद्
BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA

(Statutory Body Constituted under the Advocates Act, 1961)

21, Rouse Avenue Institutional Area, Near Bal Bhawan, New Delhi - 110002

BCI:D 3587 : 2025 (LE/Std. 11.9.2025) 21.09.2025

1.	**The Registrar,** **Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University,** **Kalyanpur, Kanpur** **Uttar Pradesh** **registrar@csjmu.ac.in**
2.	**The Dean,** **Atal Bihari Vajpayee School of Legal Studies,** **Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University,** **Kalyanpur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, 208024** **registrar@csjmu.ac.in**

Sub: Intimation with regard to the decision of the Standing Committee of Bar Council of India granting extension of approval of affiliation to Atal Bihari Vajpayee School of Legal Studies, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh for imparting 3-year LL.B. (H) degree course, 5-year B.B.A. LL.B. (H) integrated degree course with intake of one (1) section of 60 students in each section in each course AND 5-year B.A. LL.B. (H) integrated degree course with intake of one (1) section of 60 students alongwith one additional section i.e. total two (2) sections of 60 students for the academic year 2025-26 subject to compliance of Rules of Legal Education, 2008 and all circulars/directives/guidelines/notifications issued from time to time by the Bar Council of India with respect to maintenance of standards of Legal Education.

CLE to furnish a duly notarised compliance affidavit (Annexure – C/A) with adequate photo/documentary proof in this regard, with all pages of affidavit, annexures, photos, being duly notarised within 6 months from the date of receipt of this letter.

Sir/Ma'am,

This is to bring to your kind knowledge that the Standing Committee of the Legal Education Committee of the Bar Council of India in its meeting dated 11.9.2025 has considered the inspection report of **Atal Bihari Vajpayee School of Legal Studies, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh** submitted by the Inspection Team.

The Centre of Legal Education (CLE) has applied for extension of approval for imparting 3-year LL.B. (H) degree course, 5-year B.A. LL.B. (H) integrated degree course AND 5-year B.B.A. LL.B. (H) integrated degree course with intake of one (1) section of 60 students in each section in each course for the academic year 2025-2026.

Typed by: R.U

The CLE has applied for one (1) additional section of 60 students of 5-year B.A. LL.B. (H) integrated degree course for the academic year 2025-26.

After consideration, extension approval of affiliation has been granted to Atal Bihari Vajpayee School of Legal Studies, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh for imparting 3-year LL.B. (H) degree course, 5-year B.B.A. LL.B. (H) integrated degree course with intake of one (1) section of 60 students in each section in each course AND 5-year B.A. LL.B. (H) integrated degree course with intake of one (1) section of 60 students alongwith one additional section i.e. total two (2) sections of 60 students for the academic year 2025-26 subject to such conditions as imposed by the Inspection Team of Bar Council of India which have been approved with additions/modifications, where necessary by the Standing Committee in order to ensure compliance with Rules of Legal Education, 2008 and as per other stipulations/enumerations in the Rules of Legal Education & other guidelines/circulars issued in this regard from time to time by Bar Council of India.

Specific conditions imposed by the inspection team and duly modified where required and approved by the Committee which are required to be complied with are as follows, failing which approval of affiliation granted may be withdrawn and future approval may not be considered:-

Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies The has to ensure that only those students are allowed to appear in End Semester tests who do not fail to attend minimum number of classes/moot court/practical training etc in terms of rule 12 of Chapter II of Legal Education Rules, 2008 and also to maintain credible record reflecting such attendance.

Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies should buy more reference books & text books of latest edition worth minimum of Rs Ten (10) Lakhs. Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies subscribe adequate numbers of Journals including Local/Regional Law Journals. The constituent Assembly Debates needs to kept in the library.

The library needs to be kept open for the reasonable time. The overall infra structure of the library was found satisfactory. The management must subscribe Indian Bar Review and purchase set of selected judgments on professional Ethics published by the Bar Council of India Trust. The more reading space for the students should be created on immediate basis.

Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies should also develop/upgrade e-library by subscribing to e-law journals of repute and by buying e-data based law journals in terms of clause 15 of Schedule III of Rules of Legal Education.

Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies is advised to set up/create/establish one more Smart Classroom.

Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies is advised to set up/create/establish one more new Smart Moot Court.

The moot court is to put under the supervision/management of some senior Professors and a regular practicing advocate and to maintain a regular record of all its activities. Moot Court exercise to be done on regular basis to ensure that each student participates in it.

Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies has to ensure that moot court exercises, court visits and internship in terms of clause 24 of Part II (B) of Schedule III of Rules of Legal Education, 2008 are done on regular basis.

Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies has its Legal Aid Centre on Ground Floor of the building and is suitably placed as it is visible. Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies has to provide free legal aid services to public at large of the locality by adequate publicity in collaboration with the District Legal Services Authority as per.

Clause 11, Schedule- III of Rule 11, Legal Education Rules, 2008 and should also maintain register & records.

Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies is further advised to install the projectors in the class rooms which are without the projector.

Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies should keep the disposal of wastes management properly.

The common rooms needs to be developed on priority basis.

Atal Bihar Vajpayee School of Legal Studies should make all provisions Braille Symbols in its building to make it more friendly & convenient for the differently abled students considering their right to access.

More separate washrooms should be constructed for boys and girls.

FURTHERMORE, every CLE is required to furnish a duly notarised compliance affidavit attached as ANNEXURE C/A with adequate photo/documentary proof of compliance of Rules and Regulations of Legal Education, along with all circulars, directives issued by BCI, with all pages of affidavit, annexures, photos, being duly notarised within 6 months from the date of receipt of this letter.

The Centre of Legal Education is required to ensure full compliance with all stipulated norms, rules, and regulations of legal education, along with mandatory guidelines issued by the Bar Council of India. This includes adherence to all enumerations specified in BCI circulars, relevant directives, and applicable court judgments or orders. **As stated above, the compliance must be submitted within 6 months from the date of receipt of the BCI letter or earlier both in physical hard copy and as a scanned copy sent via email to complianceaffiliationle2@gmail.com with the subject line:-"Minimum Compliance to Approval-Requirements."**

It is essential to understand that compliance with all conditions and legal education regulations including mentioned above is mandatory. Any deficiencies or discrepancies whether discovered through submitted documents, online portal registrations, or official forms may lead to serious consequences, including ineligibility to admit students in the academic session 2026–2027.

The CLE is specifically required to submit appointment letters, verified qualification documents, and proof of regular salary payment as per UGC scales for each newly appointed faculty member. In addition, the CLE must provide duly notarized compliance reports detailing the status of physical infrastructure, library acquisitions, and faculty appointments. These reports

will be reviewed by the BCI Standing Committee, and any failure to report accurately or any falsification of records will invite necessary action.

Moreover, the CLE must be prepared to receive additional compliance directions from BCI and may be subject to scheduled or surprise inspections. These inspections will evaluate whether the CLE has maintained full compliance with the conditions outlined in the approval process, the rules of legal education, and all circulars issued by the BCI from time to time.

The minimum compliance Affidavit format is attached as ANNEXURE C/A.

It is further clarified that this is the minimum level of compliance required. If any additional specific conditions have been imposed on the CLE, as mentioned above(by Inspection Team, duly approved by Standing Committee) those must be separately complied with, either by incorporating additional explanatory paragraphs in Annexure C/A or by submitting distinct compliance documents as appropriate.

Specific conditions which are required to be complied with in view of Rules of Legal Education, 2008 and circulars, guidelines, directives, public notification issued by the Bar Council of India from time to time for maintenance of standards of Legal Education, failing which approval of affiliation granted may be withdrawn and future approval may not be considered. These paras/conditions below may be co - related also with the specific deficiencies points mentioned above(by the inspection team) which was duly considered and modified and approved by the Standing Committee, so that the said deficiencies can be adequately rectified, keeping below parameters as a guideline/yardstick for the same so long as they can be made co-relatable to the above specific conditions. Even otherwise, these conditions have to be as it complied with in letter and spirit by each CLE.

Faculty

As per Rule-16 and Rule-17 of Schedule III of Legal Education Rules, 2008, **the minimum requirement for three year LL.B degree course with 1 or 2 section/s is 4 faculty in first year, 6 in second year, 8 by the third year alongwith 1 Principal.**

Furthermore, in addition there should be adequate English, Computer teachers in the ratio of 1:40 and there should be 1 qualified librarian. If the strength goes beyond 2 sections, the entire ratio of all faculty members should be 1:40.

Similarly, the minimum requirement for five year integrated degree course with 1 or 2 section/s is 6 in first year, 8 in second year, 10 from third year. Principal will be common.

For specialization or Honours courses, there has to be a minimum of 3 teachers for such specialized course 1 major and 2 minor apart from having English and Computer teacher. Kindly note that these faculty

members have to be in the ratio of 1:40 for the years they are studying such subjects during the entire duration of the course.

CLE must ensure that all appointed faculty members undertake Faculty Development Programmes on a regular basis.

The faculty is required to be adequately qualified with minimum 2-year LL.M degree for teaching Law or have any other higher degree for teaching law subjects. The non-law teachers with respect to BA, BBA, B.Com. etc. must have a minimum of masters in those particular subjects being taught. 1 major and 2 minor subjects have to be taught in the 5 years BA, BBA, B.Com. Integrated degree course. **The principal or equivalent is required to have minimum prescribed qualifications in law prescribed by UGC, and should have minimum 15 years of experience. He/she has to be a Professor in law with Ph.d in law.**

They are required to be paid as per salary as per latest UGC pay scale commensurate to their designation as Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and/or Professor. **Further, the CLE is required to mention the designation of all the faculty members.**

All faculty members are required to appointed or regularised on a permanent basis and to be paid UGC pay scale.

The details the entire list of faculties members with their appointment letters should be furnished to BCI within three months.

The ratio of faculty members to students should not be less than 1:40 after the minimum strength of core faculty as per Rule 17 is ensured.

Classroom size and Furnishings

The CLE should ensure to have adequate (18) number of classrooms of minimum 750 square feet, to accommodate all batches of students across all years for it's law degree course/s. All classrooms should be of minimum 750 Sq. ft. and refurbished with new, comfortable chairs and benches to ensure a conducive learning environment. Proper size and standard furnishings are necessary to meet the requirements of Rules of legal Education. CLE must ensure that every classroom has light, fan, teacher's podium, teachers chair and teacher's table. There should be mike in large classrooms.

Further advised to create/establish one smart classroom and install the projectors in the class rooms which are without the projector.

Library Enhancements

The institute should invest in acquiring more reference and textbooks of the latest editions of authors of repute. Allocate an annual budget of at least Rs. 10 lakhs for purchasing new reference books, textbooks, and journals. Furthermore, the CLE should establish an e-library by subscribing to reputable e-law journals and acquiring electronic databases of law journals, as specified in the Rules of Legal Education.

-Ensure the library is open for reasonable hours to accommodate student needs.

Typed by: R.U

-Create adequate reading space for students and upgrade the overall infrastructure of the library.

As per Schedule III, provision 4, 6 and 15-A Law Library has have a set of AIR manual, Combo offer of CD of AIR Pvt. Ltd. (containing electronic version of AIR Supreme Court and High Court Data bases Research 1950-2015 (four connections each) Cr. L.J. Data Base 1950-2015(four connections) AIR Privy Council Data Base 1900-1950 (four connections) AIR Manual latest 6th Edition(1-45 Vols.) AIR Journal 2015, Cr. L.J. 2015, L.I.C. 2015, AIR Civil Cases 2015, AIR Law Lines 2015, AIR Cheque Dishonour Reports 2015, AIR Accident Claims and compensation 2015, Institution shall get electronic versions updated every year by AIR Pvt. Ltd. Central Acts and Local Acts, Criminal law journal, SCC, Company cases, Indian Bar Review, selected Judgements on Professional Ethics and Journals with the back volumes for at least ten years and also such number of text books in each subjects taught during the period according to the minimum standard ratio of ten books for each registered students. For running integrated program, textbooks of such other subjects are also to be kept in the similar minimum ratio. CLE must buy separate social science books for their law library. CLE must procure books of good authors and publishers.

The Library has to have adequate space for keeping books, periodicals, and journals. CLE must maintain accession register properly. There should not be any discrepancy in the entries made. Books should be properly arranged

The library shall also have adequate reading space for at least 25% of the enrolled students according to per capita reading space specified by any standard setting bodies like UGC.(Rule 4).

The Library has to have adequate space in the library for computer facility with access to internet and national and international library access and data bases.(Rule 6).

Equip the library with at least 30 computers. As per schedule III clause 9 (b) Computer Education has to be made compulsory for all the students.

Moot Court Room

The Moot Court Room must be equipped with all necessary amenities to simulate courtroom proceedings effectively. It should have a dedicated space, distinct from other uses, and adhere to the Bar Council of India norms, including the provision of three wooden Crown Chairs for judges, on judges dais podiums for counsels, a witness box, and a designated podium for the Registry including Table chairs for court officers (supporting staff). The photograph of dignitaries like Mahatma Gandhi and B.R. Ambedkar should be affixed.

The size of the Moot Court Room should be sufficient to accommodate at least 70 percent of the student and faculty strength, as well as external participants and invitees, ensuring ample space for effective conduct of moot court activities.

The Centre for Legal Education (CLE) shall place the Moot Court Room under the management of a senior faculty member along with a regular practicing advocate from the local courts. They will oversee the organization of moot court activities and maintain comprehensive records of all proceedings and events. CLE to ensure that moot court exercises, in terms of clause 24 of Schedule II, Rule 6 Part II (B) of Rules of Legal Education, 2008 are done on regular basis.

Legal Aid Clinic

The Centre for Legal Education (CLE) must strengthen its provision of free legal aid services to the local community in accordance with Clause 11, Schedule III of

Rule 11 of the Legal Education Rules, 2008, by ensuring adequate publicity and collaboration with the District Legal Services Authority. The Legal Aid Centre should be strategically relocated to the ground floor near the main entry door for greater accessibility, with prominent signage displayed at both the entrance of the CLE campus and the main entry door, clearly indicating available services and contact information. The centre should be adequately furnished with comfortable seating for visitors and maintain comprehensive records of its activities, including registers and visuals of awareness programs conducted, which should be displayed both inside and outside the Legal Aid Centre to enhance visibility and outreach. CLE to put the LAC under the management of some senior Professors and to maintain a regular record of all its activities, along with photographs of various activities.

Common Rooms

The Centre for Legal Education (CLE) must provide well-furnished, adequately equipped, and separately designated Boys' and Girls' Common Rooms in the Academic Building to ensure enhanced comfort, privacy, and recreational facilities for students. The Girls Common Room should be allocated a spacious and decent room with an attached washroom, drinking water facility, and two beds encircled with curtains for privacy. Both rooms should be furnished with adequate (not less then 40) number of comfortable chairs, more tables, a common table, a mirror, a dressing table, and indoor games kits, along with a first-aid kit for emergencies/medical needs. The Common washrooms should have liquid soaps, and mirrors.

Waste Management

The institution should keep the disposal of wastes management properly. Proper waste management practices must be implemented throughout the College. This includes the installation of dustbins in all classrooms, moot court rooms, common rooms, and staff areas to ensure cleanliness and effective waste disposal.

Accessibility Improvements

To accommodate differently abled students and staff, the institution must make necessary provisions, including the construction of ramps, lifts, and the installation of Braille symbols, ensuring compliance with accessibility standards and it should exclusive for Law students, staff and faculty so that it is convenient for the differently abled students considering their right to access.

Disaster Management and Fire Safety:

The Centre for Legal Education (CLE) must ensure comprehensive fire safety measures across the campus, including the installation of fire extinguishers in key areas, provision of emergency exit lighting, and strategically placed fire alarms. Additionally, adequate fire prevention and preparedness protocols should be implemented, including regular safety drills and clear emergency evacuation routes to safeguard the well-being of all occupants.

Anti-Ragging Measures

The CLE has to ensure strict implementation of a comprehensive anti-ragging framework in accordance with the guidelines issued by the Bar Council of India and the Hon'ble Supreme Court in University of Kerala v. Council of Principals of

Typed by: R.U

Colleges [(2009) 4 SCC 741]. The CLE has to ensure the constitution of an Anti-Ragging Committee and Squad with powers to prevent, monitor, and take strict disciplinary action against any act of ragging. The CLE has to ensure that every student submits a mandatory anti-ragging undertaking co-signed by their parent/guardian. The CLE has to ensure the availability of a 24×7 dedicated anti-ragging helpline and an online complaint mechanism. The CLE has to ensure that all incidents, if any, are reported promptly to BCI, the affiliating university, and law enforcement authorities, thereby strictly enforcing zero tolerance, as reaffirmed in Vishwa Jagriti Mission v. Central Government [(2001) 6 SCC 577]. The CLE has to ensure the conduct of awareness programs, workshops, and seminars, and has to ensure publication of the anti-ragging policy, penalties, and annual statistics on its website, brochures, and campus notice boards.

Empathy, Counselling, and Kindness Initiatives

The CLE has to ensure the promotion of a culture of empathy, psychological well-being, and mutual respect among all stakeholders, in line with the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 and relevant judicial directives. The CLE has to ensure the establishment and effective functioning of a Counselling and Wellness Centre with qualified mental health professionals. The CLE has to ensure the conduct of regular workshops on emotional intelligence, kindness, and inclusivity, and integrate these modules into induction programs. The CLE has to ensure that faculty performance evaluations include parameters related to mentoring quality and supportive conduct. The CLE has to ensure robust grievance redressal mechanisms to address psychological or emotional issues promptly. The CLE has to ensure the adoption of a non-discriminatory and non-judgmental approach across the campus and must undertake periodic audits and establish an Internal Mental Health Oversight Committee to monitor and continuously improve mental health services.

Student Grievance Redressal Mechanism

The CLE has to ensure the constitution and effective functioning of a Student Grievance Redressal Cell as per BCI guidelines (BCI:D:2382/2024), comprising senior faculty, administrative representatives, a female faculty member, and student representatives. The CLE has to ensure the maintenance of accessible online and offline grievance submission systems. The CLE has to ensure the maintenance of detailed records of all grievances and timely resolution without any retaliation. The CLE has to ensure submission of annual reports to the governing body and availability of these reports to the BCI during inspections.

Infrastructure and Exclusive Building Compliance Deficiencies

CLE must place permanent Name Board outside the main gate and outside the academic building. CLE should have properly demarcated building with proper name board identifying the law college.

✓ ***You may kindly bear in mind that the Bar Council of India is the sole and supreme sanctioning authority for seats and it does not allow any supernumerary quota of seats for law degree courses, over and above the sanctioned strength of seats and whatever reservation of seats is to done by the University under whichever quota as a rule has to be done within sanctioned strength of seats. The only supernumerary quota, as of now permitted by the Bar Council of***

Typed by: R.U

India, is 10 percent seats in EWS quota over and above the sanctioned strength of seats allotted/approved by BCI and that too, is subject to adequate infrastructure and qualified faculty to accommodate the supernumerary seats. The same is required to be adhered to. If there is any default on such part and the same is discovered subsequently, action shall ensue.

- *The CLE is advised to declare the same voluntarily for consideration of the same in a manner which will not be detrimental to interests of the students admitted, if any, over and above the sanctioned seats as a one time opportunity. The same shall not be construed to be applied prospectively.*

- *It is pertinent to point that no University in India can offer a 4 yearLL.B or integrated LL.B, followed by a 1 year LL.M in tie up with a foreign University under the present BCI regulations. Such a Law degree, nor the post qualification after it, is recognised by Bar Council of India. The BCI only recognizes the pattern of a 12th class +3 (graduation in any stream+3 (year Law degree) and/or a 12 + 5 year integrated law degree.*

- *While exchange programs of teachers and students have been undertaken by Centers of Legal Education including, Law Universities in India, Dual Degrees or joint Degrees with Foreign Universities have not been permitted or recognized by BCI. It is essential to emphasize that any foreign collaboration involving legal education institutions, must strictly adhere to the regulatory framework established by the Bar Council of India. It cannot compromise on the quality of legal education mandated by the BCI It's worth noting that unless a degree is recognized by the BCI in India, it holds no validity within the country. Therefore, a degree obtained from an Indian University, being recognized solely in a foreign jurisdiction, without recognition in India, would be of no consequence to the university or its students.*

- *Recognition and approval of law degrees are exclusively within the purview of the Bar Council of India. Consequently, if, upon thorough evaluation it is determined Law degrees are being issued by Universities against the rules and norms of Bar Council of India, recognition of such degree/s shall be withdrawn and such degree holders shall not be entitled to be enrolled in any State Bar Council in India.*

- *BCI and it's Legal Education Committee have time and again reiterated, stated and clarified, that it does not recognise LL.B and/or LL.M or any Law degree course through online mode, correspondence, open and/or distance learning mode.*

- *It has further come to the attention of the Bar Council of India and its Legal Education Committee that certain institutions are offering Master of Arts (MA) programs with a Law subject, in open and distance learning mode, or online mode which attempts to mimic the structure and content of a Master of Laws (LL.M.) program. Upon careful examination, it has been observed that such an endeavor is beyond the scope and intent of a Master of Arts Degree and is deemed impermissible. It is hereby clarified that such MA degrees will not be recognized*

by the BCI as equivalent to a Master of Laws (LL.M.) degree and for the purpose of teaching of LL.B. Course.

- *It is crucial to clarify that the designation "MA" signifies "Master of Arts," whereas "LL.M." represents "Legum Magister," a Latin term denoting a "Master of Laws" Degree. The distinction between these two titles is significant, as an LL.M. degree is specifically tailored for graduates of law programs, whereas an MA degree encompasses a broader range of academic disciplines and is separate and distinguished from Master of Laws Degree. It is a deliberate attempt to bypass LL.M. in such a manner.*

- *The BCI emphasizes that an MA degree with a Law subject does not confer the same benefits or privileges as an LL.M. degree. Individuals holding an MA degree in Law will not be entitled to the benefits typically associated with possessing an LL.M. degree, nor will they be eligible to teach in LL.B degree programs. Pursuit of legal education at the postgraduate level, in the form of an LL.M. degree, is restricted to individuals who have completed their undergraduate legal studies while it is clarified that any specialized branch of law offered at the master's level, without the LL.B./BA.LLB qualification as the requisite entry-level credential, shall not be recognised as equivalent to an LL.M. degree.*

- *It is imperative for all stakeholders in the legal education sector to understand that the distinction between an MA degree and an LL.M. degree is significant. An MA degree with a Law subject does not fulfill the requirements for recognition by the BCI as a qualification equivalent to an LL.M. degree.*

- *This serves to clarify the position of the BCI on the recognition of MA degrees with a Law subject and to prevent any misconceptions regarding their equivalence to LL.M. degrees.*

- *The BCI and its Legal Education Committee has further also observed that running an MA (with Law subject) by any such mode is an attempt to mimic an LL.M, which is also not permitted by such mode.*

- *It has also been brought to the notice of Bar Council of India that some entities claiming to be Centre of Legal Education are offering courses through distance education/correspondence mode, under the nomenclature of the degree of LL.M. or LL.M. professional, where anyone even without LL.B. can get an LL.M. degree, and, the same persons are also getting registered for Ph.D., appearing for UGC NET etc. thereby diluting quality of legal education.*

- *This is an illegal practice and Bar Council of India shall not hesitate in taking stringent action against such centres.*

RELEVANT SUPREME COURT AND HIGH DIRECTIONS INCLUDED IN ORDERS/JUDGEMENTS AND OTHER RELEVANT ASPECTS ARE AS FOLLOWS

Typed by: R.U

Recently the Bombay High Court, in its judgment dated 2nd April 2025 in the case of Smt. Nathibai Damodar Thackersey Women's University Law School vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors., W.P. No. 1501 of 2019, reiterated and upheld the statutory powers and duties of the Bar Council of India under the Advocates Act, 1961, particularly in the context of regulating legal education. The petition had challenged several provisions of the Rules of Legal Education, 2008 framed by the BCI, and questioned the authority of the BCI to inspect law colleges affiliated to universities.

The Court emphasized that the BCI has a paramount statutory duty to maintain standards of legal education in the country. It observed, *"From perusal of Sections 7(1)(h), (i), (l) and (m) of the Act of 1961, it is evident that the maintenance of standards of legal education is the paramount statutory duty of the BCI"*. Further, it reaffirmed that the power of inspection is not restricted to universities alone but extends to all Centres of Legal Education, including law colleges affiliated to universities. The Court stated, *"The petitioner law school cannot claim any immunity from inspection by the Bar Council"*.

The judgment clarified the legal interpretation of Section 49(1)(d) of the Advocates Act, 1961, which grants BCI rule-making power to maintain standards in legal education. The Court held that the rule-making power under this section is both general and specific, noting that, *"Section 49(1) confers particular powers without prejudice to generality of general power already conferred and therefore, particular powers are only illustrative of general power and do not in any way restrict the general power"*. This interpretation enabled the Court to uphold the validity of the contested Rules under the 2008 framework, specifically Rules 2(iv)(a), 2(xii)(B), 14, 16(2), 18(2), 19(ii), 19(iii), and 26(a), declaring them intra vires the parent statute.

Importantly, the Court also addressed the relationship between the Advocates Act, 1961, the Maharashtra Public Universities Act, 2016, and the University Grants Commission Act, 1956. It held that where there is a conflict, the Advocates Act prevails, stating, "Even assuming that there is an inconsistency between the provisions of the Act of 2016 and the Act of 1961, the provisions of the Act of 1961 will prevail as they have been enacted by the Parliament"

The Court dismissed the petition and upheld the BCI's authority to regulate and inspect law colleges, reinforcing that the statutory framework established by the Advocates Act, 1961 and the Rules of Legal Education, 2008 are legally sound and necessary for maintaining the quality of legal education in India. It stated unequivocally, *"The challenge made in the petition to the Rules of 2008 being ultra vires the parent Act is without any basis"*.

The Kerala High Court in the case of Indira Gandhi Memorial Trust Vs. State of Kerala, W.P. (Civil) No. 34303/2023 vide its order dated 12th December, 2023 *held that if a College intends to start a course during the academic year 2023-24, the application before the Bar Council has to be submitted before 31.12.2022In effect, if an affiliation is granted by the University for a particular academic year, beyond*

such timeline, the same cannot be produced before the Bar Council of India for starting the course in the very same academic year going by the time schedules that are kept by the Bar Council of India.

The Hon'ble court directed the University to extend the affiliation dated 20.07.2023 issued for the academic year 2023-24 to be valid for the academic year 2024-25 and comply with the time schedules that are kept by the Bar Council of India.

Therefore, in view of the above, universities are directed to adhere to the above timeline or to any timeline notified by the Bar Council of India before each academic year for providing affiliation to any Centre of Legal Education.

The Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Mumbai at Nagpur, in the Writ PetitionNumber 1114/2018 vide Judgment dated 08.04.2020 in re RashtrasantTukdoji Maharaj Nagpur University and others vs. State of Maharashtra and others (AIR 2020 Bom 135), *upheld the constitutional validity of Rule 2(xxiv)"Regular Approval" means approval for not more than five years and includes permanent approval earlier granted to any Centre of Legal Education before these Rules come into force.*

The Court observed that approval of educational institutions is procedural, and the approval granted is in the nature of an existing right rather than a vested right. Legal education, the Court noted, is a dynamic process that requires maintaining standards, which cannot be confined to any time frame or remain static.

In Bar Council of India v. Board of Management, Dayanand College of Law, Appeal (Civil) Nos. 5301–5302 of 2001 (decided on 28th November 2006), reported in (2007) 2 SCC 202, the Hon'ble Supreme Court categorically *held that only individuals possessing a degree in law are eligible to serve as the head of a law college, thereby overruling the High Court's decision which had upheld the appointment of a Principal without a law qualification. The Court unequivocally affirmed that the Bar Council of India, as the apex statutory body under the Advocates Act, 1961, is entrusted to maintain standards of the legal profession and of those who seek entry into that profession. The Supreme Court emphasized that this authority cannot be overridden by university statutes or local regulations, reiterating that the BCI's regulatory mandate extends beyond enrolment to encompass the entire educational pipeline leading to entry into the legal profession.*

Supporting this position, the Punjab & Haryana High Court in Shruti Bedi & Ors. v. Panjab University

& Ors., CWP 13091 of 2023 (decided on 22.11.2023), explicitly *held that the head of a Centre of Legal Education must be a law teacher with at least fifteen years of teaching experience and a Ph.D. in Law, in strict adherence to Rule 16 of the Bar Council of India Rules of Legal Education, 2008. The High Court further clarified in para 33 that once the Director exercises academic and financial powers, the post cannot be deemed honorary or ceremonial, and thus cannot be occupied by a person without a legal background. Additionally, para 23, the Court invoked Rule 16 of the BCI Rules to underscore that this requirement is not merely academic but statutory, flowing from the Advocates Act, 1961 and enforced through BCI's regulatory framework. The Supreme Court in Dayanand further opined that the BCI's role cannot be considered to be taken away by the Universities Acts, thereby confirming that compliance with BCI norms is mandatory and binding.*

Together, these landmark judgments firmly establish that appointments, leadership roles, and governance structures within legal education must rigorously conform to standards prescribed by the Bar Council of India, underscoring the indispensable role of BCI in safeguarding the quality, integrity, and constitutional objectives of legal education in India. Any deviation, undermines the statutory mandate and invites legal consequences

✓ **The Madhya Pradesh High Court has recently on 07.03.2025 in Vyom Garg Case**, which pertains to enrolment of those candidates who have obtained their degree from CLEs not approved/recognised by Bar Council of India, passed an order deterring malpractices and administrative laxity by Centers of Legal Education, it has held that Institutions found enrolling students without valid BCI approval now face the real threat of criminal prosecution. CLEs are now under clear judicial warning to comply strictly with BCI norms, including timely fee payments and adherence to affiliation and approval of affiliation by BCI procedures. Failure to comply not only results in loss of recognition but also exposes the institutions to legal consequences and reputational damage. This, stresses on disciplined legal education governance, with zero tolerance for institutions that jeopardize students' futures through non-compliance of BCI Rules of Legal Education Rules.

✓ **The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Bar Council of India Vs. Rabi Sahu, Civil Appeal No. 8571/2013 vide its order dated 9th June, 2023** *has held that in view of the Bar Council of India Rules, Part IV-*

Rules of Legal Education, 2008 prescribed by Bar Council of India, only graduates from recognized/approved Centres of Legal Education (Universities, University Departments, Constituent Units, Colleges etc.) by the Bar Council of India can be enrolled as advocates

✓ *As per the order of the* **Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 29.08.2019 passed in Writ Petition (Civil)**

No.1510 of 2018, titled as Vinit Garg Vs. University Grants Commission and as per the earlier order of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 03.11.2017 passed in Civil Appeal Nos.17869-17870, arising out of SLP No. 19807-19808/2012 in the case of Odisha Lift Irrigation Corp Ltd. Vs Ravi Shankar Patro & Ors., *the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that an University has to obtain permission from the concerned regulatory body for initiating/starting, opening and conducting any distance learning course/s.*

✓ *As per UGC Regulations 4(A)(iv), (Open and Distance Learning Programmes & Online Programmes) Regulations, 2020, the Higher Educational Institution must have the approval or recommendations of the statutory or regulatory authority, in this case, the Bar Council of India (BCI), for offering law programs in Open and Distance Learning mode or Online mode.*

Explanation: Programmes as mentioned at clause (iv) shall be considered only when these are recommended by the respective statutory or regulatory authority or regulatory council to offer in Open and Distance Learning mode or Online mode, as applicable....."

✓ *Furthermore, the Distance Education Bureau under UGC explicitly prohibits courses in engineering, law, medicine, dental, pharmacy, nursing, architecture, physiotherapy, applied arts, and other such programs from being offered through online mode without approval from the respective statutory or regulatory bodies.*

✓ *Some Universities, including some reputed Universities are running such programs in flagrant violation of clear directives and guidelines as stipulated above and without even having made a request or application to BCI in this regard. It is categorically made clear, such programs have no recognition and/or approval from BCI.*

NOTE

Public Meeting for Legal Education related Matters will be held from Monday to Thursday every week between 3.00 p.m. to 4.30 p.m. only at BCI office at 21 Rouse Avenue Institutional Area, New Delhi 110002 (2nd floor, Conference room) with Chancellor, Vice Chancellor, Pro-Vice-Chancellor, Registrar, Director, Dean, Principal, Academician/Permanent Faculty of Law, Head of Department, /Member of Society/or Trust which has established the CLE, administrative personnel, attached to(permanently working with Center of Legal Education) and/ Society Registration documents and/or with owners whose name should be documented in Trust Deed.

Typed by: R.U

Allshould carry CLE photo id cards as well as Aadhar/PAN/Passport for identity along with authority letter from authorised personnel like VC, Registrar, Dean, Principal or owner whose Identity should be clear from documents like Trust Deed, Society Registration papers, Photo Ids referred to above.
Authority letter has to bear original signature as on Govt. id like PAN Card/Passport, FULL NAME, DESIGNATION, MOBILE NUMBER AND EMAIL ID of person issuing authority letter as well as of person who is being issued authority letter.

No agents/touts or unauthorised personnel shall be entertained at any cost.

All visitors will have to fill the following form with attachments before the meeting.

On behalf of BCI, the meeting will be attended by Principal Secretary Mr. Srimanto Sen and/or Mr.Nalin Raj Chaturvedi, Additional Secretary and/or in absence of one/both of them by Mr. Awanish Kumar Pandey, Additional Secretary.

No other BCI employee is authorised to discuss Legal Education Related Matters. To ensure the integrity and quality of legal education, it is essential to prevent unauthorized personnel, agents and touts from interfering in academic and administrative matters. Unauthorized interference often leads to misinformation and compromises the standards of legal education. Therefore, ONLY SENIOR AND AUTHORISED PERSONNEL AS MENTIONED ABOVE WILL BE ENTERTAINED.

Your cooperation is required to maintain transparency and uphold the highest standards in legal education. This measure is in the best interest of all stakeholders and aims to promote an environment conducive to the betterment of legal education.

This is for your information, necessary compliance and action.

Yours sincerely,

Deepak Kumar
Assistant Secretary

Nalin Raj Chaturvedi
Additional Secretary

Typed by: R.U

University
registrarcsjmuknp@gmail.com
91 (0)512 2580044

छत्रपति शाहू जी महाराज विश्वविद्यालय, कानपुर
CHHATRAPATI SHAHU JI MAHARAJ UNIVERSITY, KANPUR

कल्याणपुर, कानपुर-208024
Kalyanpur, Kanpur-208024

पत्रांक: श्री एस जी एम यू के यू / आर कैम्प /1623/2017

दिनांक: 05-08-2017

कार्यालय ज्ञाप

विद्या परिषद की बैठक दिनांक 27.03.2017 द्वारा संस्तुत एवं कार्य परिषद की बैठक दिनांक 29.03.2017 में लिये गये निर्णय के अनुसार छत्रपति शाहू जी महाराज विश्वविद्यालय, कानपुर परिसर में सत्र 2017–18 से एम.एस.सी. (इण्डस्ट्रियल केमेस्ट्री), एम.एस.सी. (एप्लायड मैथ) एवं एल.एल.एम. पाठ्यक्रम संचालित होंगें।

(राम चन्द्र अवस्थी)
कुलसचिव

प्रतिलिपि–निम्नलिखित को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

1. समस्त निदेशक / विभागाध्यक्ष / संकायाध्यक्ष / प्रभारी, सी0एस0जे0एम0वि0वि0, कानपुर।
2. समस्त अधिकारीगण, सी0एस0जे0एम0वि0वि0, कानपुर। ।
3. निजी सचिव कुलपति, मा0 कुलपति जी के अवलोकनार्थ।
4. प्रति कुलपति, सी0एस0जे0एम0वि0वि0, कानपुर। ।
5. वैय0 सहा0 वित्तअधिकारी।
6. वैय0 सहा0 परीक्षा नियंत्रक।
7. वैय0 सहा0 कुलसचिव।

(राम चन्द्र अवस्थी)
कुलसचिव

E-mail: registrar@csjmu.ac.in
registrarcsjmukyp@gmail.com

छत्रपति शाहू जी महाराज विश्वविद्यालय, कानपुर
CHHATRAPATI SHAHU JI MAHARAJ UNIVERSITY, KANPUR
राष्ट्रीय मूल्यांकन एवं प्रत्यायन परिषद् द्वारा A++ ग्रेड प्राप्त विश्वविद्यालय
(पूर्ववर्ती कानपुर विश्वविद्यालय, कानपुर)
(Formerly Known as Kanpur University Kanpur-208024)

P.: 0512-2240500
F.: 0512-2240532

सन्दर्भ सं०: सी.एस.जे.एम.वि.वि./सम्ब./ 370 /2025

दिनांक: 19/06/2025

कार्यालय-ज्ञाप

विश्वविद्यालय परिसर में संचालित विभिन्न संस्थानों/विभागों में उनके सम्मुख अंकित निम्नलिखित नये पाठ्यक्रमों को शैक्षिक सत्र 2025–26 से संचालन हेतु विद्या परिषद् की बैठक दिनांक 21.03.2025 की अनुशंसा एवं कार्य परिषद् की बैठक दिनांक 29.03.2025 में प्रदत्त अनुमोदन के क्रम में छात्रवृत्ति हेतु सम्बद्धता आदेश निर्गत किया जाता है :–

Name of School/Department	Name of Programme	Duration	Level
SCHOOL OF LIFE SCIENCES AND BIOTECHNOLOGY	M.Sc. Forensic Science	2 years	PG
SCHOOL OF BASIC SCIENCES	M.Sc. Mathematics with Artificial Intelligence and Data Science	2 years	PG
	M.Sc. in Chemistry with specialization in Fragrance & Flavour Chemistry	2 years	PG
	M.Sc. Environment Science with specialization in Sustainability and Governance	2 years	PG
	Certificate course in Vedic Mathematics	6 months	Certificate
SCHOOL OF HEALTH SCIENCES	Bachelor in Operation Theatre Technology	4 years	UG
	Bachelor in Dialysis Therapy Technologies	4 years	UG
	Master in Medical Radiology and Imaging Technology (MMRIT)	2 years	PG
	Master in Optometry (M. Optom.)	2 years	PG
SCHOOL OF LANGUAGES	Certificate Course in Spanish	1 Year	Certificate
	Certificate Course in Mandarin	1 Year	Certificate
Acharya Vidyasagar Sudhasagar Jain Shodhpeeth	MA (Jain Darshan)	2 years	PG
	MA (Prakrit)	2 years	PG
	Diploma in Jain Darshan	2 years	Diploma
	Diploma in Prakrit	2 years	Diploma
	Diploma in Jain Vidhi-Vidhan	2 years	Diploma
	Diploma in Vaastu, Jyotish Muhurat	2 years	Diploma
	Certificate in Jain Darshan	1 Year	Certificate
	Certificate in Prakrit	1 Year	Certificate
	Certificate in Jain Vidhi-Vidhan	1 Year	Certificate
	Certificate in Vaastu, Jyotish Muhurat	1 Year	Certificate

क्रमशः.....02

registrar@csjmu.ac.in
registrarcsjmu@gmail.com
0512 2581521
0512 2581522

छत्रपति शाहू जी महाराज विश्वविद्यालय, कानपुर
CHHATRAPATI SHAHU JI MAHARAJ UNIVERSITY, KANPUR

राष्ट्रीय मूल्यांकन एवं प्रत्यायन परिषद् द्वारा A++ ग्रेड प्राप्त विश्वविद्यालय
(पूर्ववर्ती कानपुर विश्वविद्यालय, कानपुर)
(Formerly Known as Kanpur University, Kanpur-208024)

संदर्भ सं० सी.एस.जे.एम.वि.वि. / सामा. / 3310 / 2025 दिनांक: 12/06/2025

ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE SCHOOL OF LEGAL STUDIES	L.L.M. (1 year)	1 Year	PG
SCHOOL OF TEACHER EDUCATION (Department of Education)	Integrated Teacher Education Programme (Science) (subject to approval from NCTE)	4 years	Integrated
	Integrated Teacher Education Programme (Arts) (subject to approval from NCTE)	4 years	Integrated
	Certificate course on Digigogy	6 months	Certificate
	Certificate course on Educational Leadership and Management	6 months	Certificate
SCHOOL OF ARTS, HUMANITIES & SOCIAL SCIENCES (Department of Clinical Psychology)	B.Sc. (Hons.) Clinical Psychology	4 Years	UG
	M.A. (Clinical Psychology)	2 years	PG
SCHOOL OF ARTS, HUMANITIES & SOCIAL SCIENCES (Deen Dayal Shodh Kendra)	PG Diploma in Vaastu Shastra	1 Year	PG Diploma
SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT	Integrated Master of Business Administration (MBA) (5 Year Integrated Programme)	5 years	Integrated

भवदीय,

(राकेश कुमार)
कुलसचिव

प्रतिलिपि–निम्नलिखित को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

1. निजी सचिव कुलपति, मा० कुलपति जी के अवलोकनार्थ।
2. सम्बन्धित संस्थान के विभागाध्यक्ष / संकायाध्यक्ष, छत्रपति शाहू जी महाराज विश्वविद्यालय परिसर, कानपुर।
3. डीन स्टूडेंट वेलफेयर, सी०एस०जे०एम०वि०वि०, कानपुर।
4. सहायक कुलसचिव, छात्रवृत्ति प्रकोष्ठ, सी०एस०जे०एम०वि०वि०, कानपुर।
5. वि० सहायक कुलसचिव / परीक्षा नियंत्रक।
6. डीन अकादमिक / प्रभारी, पी०एम०यू०, सी०एस०जे०एम०वि०वि०, कानपुर।
7. सम्बन्धित पत्रावली।

कुलसचिव